1	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PERSONNEL APPEALS
2	OF THE STATE OF MONTANA
3	OF THE STATE OF MONTANA DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE OF THE STATE OF MONTANA UD-6-1975
4	Employer,)
5	MONTANA PUBLIC EMPLOYEES ASSOCIATION) ORDER.
6	Petitioner.)
7	
8	
9	The Board of Personnel Appeals having heard testimony at its August /,
10	1975 meeting from the Department of Agriculture and the Montana Public
11	Employees Association regarding the exemption of Gerald M.Kaiser, Inspector,
12	Horticulture Supervisor and Ned Johnson, Compliance Officer III, from
13	bargaining unit activities hereby Orders as follows:
14	1. That Gerald M. Kaiser, Inspector, Horticulture Supervisor, does
15	have the authority to effectively recommend hiring and firing of personnel
16	under his jurisdiction and is therefore exempt from the bargaining unit.
17	2. That Ned Johnson does have the authority to effectively recommend
18	hiring and firing of employees under his jurisdiction, and is therefore
19	exempt from bargaining unit activities.
20	BOARD OF PERSONNEL APPEALS
21	Robert R. Jensen
22	Executive Secretary
	Dated this 20th day of August, 1975.
23	

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I, Robert R. Jensen, hereby certify and state that I did, on the 20th day of August, 1975, mail a true and correct copy of the above Order, in an envelope securely sealed with postage prepaid, addressed to them at their last known address as follows:

George Lackman Department of Agriculture Capitol Annex Helena, Montana 59601

Dated this 20th day of August, 1975.

Tom Schneider M.P.E.A. P. O. Box 1184

32

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

2

1

3 4

> 5 6

7

8 9 10

12 13

11

15 16

14

17 18

19 20

21

22 23

24 25

26 27

28

29 30

3]

IN THE MATTER OF UNIT DETERMINATION NO. 6 (1975) * MONTANA PUBLIC EMPLOYEES ASSOCIATION.

Petitioner,

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND ORDER AS RECOMMENDED TO THE BOARD OF PERSONNEL APPEALS

FINDINGS OF FACT.

Employer - Counterpetitioner,

INTRODUCTION

The Montana Public Employees Association (hereafter, MPEA) filed a Petition for Unit Determination and Election with the Board of Personnel Appeals on April 7, 1975. MPEA proposes a bargaining unit consisting of "All non-exempted employees of the Department of Agriculture, State of Montana."

The Department of Agriculture (hereafter Department) filed a counterpetition with the Board of Personnel Appeals on April 14, 1975. The counterpetition detailed (1) the Department's agreement with the petitioners proposed unit struc+ ture, and (2) the employees the Department feel should be exempted from the bargaining unit under section 59-1602 (3), (4), which exempts "supervisory employees" and "management officials". The counterpetition was amended at the unit determination hearing. As amended the counterpetition proposes the exclusion of twenty (20) employees of the Department.

A hearing was held in this matter before me, as the duly appointed hearing examiner of the Board, on May 20, 1975, in Helena Montana. The Department was represented at the hearing by Commissioner George Lackman and Deputy Director Eldon R. Fastrup; MPEA was represented at the hearing by Thomas E. Schneider.

II. FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. The parties are in substantial agreement as to what would constitute an appropriate bargaining unit in this matter. The record indicates that there is but one issue remaining to be resolved; that is, whether or not certain ^employees are excluded from collective bargaining representation.
 - 2. As noted above, the Department submitted an amended counterpetition

32

at the hearing which listed the personnel the Department Believes should be excluded from any appropriate bargaining unit of the twenty employees listed in the amended counterpetition, the parties here are in substantial agreement as to the exclusion of twelve employees; the remaining eight will be discussed below.

(A) It is my opinion that, for the reasons outlined, these employees should be excluded from the appropriate bargaining unit:

inspector, Pesticide Supervisor, (Robert H. LaRue); and Chemist Supervisor, (Laszlo Torma). These two employees possess the supervisory and managerial responsibilities detailed in sections 59-1602(3) and (4), R.C.M. 1947. The Inspector, Pesticide Supervisor supervises up to six full-time employees, and has administrative responsibilities over the "pesticide program" and the "licenses program". The Chemist Supervisor supervises four full-time employees and up to six part-time employees. The Chemist Supervisor's recommendations, relative to the supervisory functions detailed in section 59-1602(3) R.C.M. 1947, are effective; no laboratory positions are filled without his approval.

(B) It is my opinion that, for the reasons outlined, these employees should be included in the appropriate bargaining unit:

Secretary, Administrative I, (Doretta Devemy): and Accountant III, (Mary Evans). The Department seeks to exclude these positions from any appropriate unit because of the "confidential" nature of their relationship with management, The Montana Public Employees Collective Bargaining Act (Title 59, Chapter 16, R.C.M. 1947) does not provide for the exclusion of "confidential employees". Therefore, it is my opinion that these employees are not excludable from any appropriate unit on the basis of confidentiality,

Inspector, Horticulture Supervisor, (Gerald Kaiser); Compliance Officer III.

Ned Johnson); Inspector, Horticulture III, (Clayton Scott); and, Grader, Agricultural Commodity Supervisor, (Lawrence Vigen). The record in this case indicates that while the Inspector, Horticulture Supervisor and the Grader, Agricultural Commodity Supervisor perform a few supervisory functions, they do not possess the extent of authority required for exclusion under section 59-1602(3) R.C.M.

1947. The organizational structure, as explained by the Department, indicates

that there are at least three levels of supervision above the Compliance Officer III and Inspector, Horticulture III: 1) Commissioner of Agriculture, 2) Horticulture Division Administrator and 3) Inspector, Horticulture Supervisor. Moreover, while these four positions may direct subordinates, and ^assign tasks to subordinates, the record shows that they do not have the authority to hire and discharge subordinates, or to effectively recommend such action.

III CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

A unit composed of all non-exempt employees of the Department of Agriculture, State of Montana, as proposed by the Montana Public Employees Association, is appropriate for the purposes of collective bargaining within the meaning of section 59-1606 (2), R.C.M. 1947,

IV RECOMMENDED ORDER

An election by secret ballot shall be conducted under the direction and supervision of the Board of Personnel Appeals, among the employees described in the Conclusion of Law, above, who were employed by the Department of Agriculture on April 7, 1975, to determine whether or not they desire to be represented, for purposes of collective bargaining, by the MPEA.

Dated this 17th day of June, 1975.

HEARING EXAMINER

-3-

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I, GEORGE H. MASSMAN, hereby certify and state that I did, on the 17th day of June, 1975, mail a true and correct copy of the Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order as Recommended to the Board of Personnel Appeals in the matter of Unit Determination No. 6 (1975), by depositing a true and correct copy in the United States mail, in an envelope securely sealed with postage prepaid, addressed to them at their last known address as follows:

George Lackman, Director Department of Agriculture Capitol Annex Building Helena, Mt 59601

Eldon Fastrup, Deputy Director Department of Agriculture Capitol Annex Building Helena, Mt 59601

Thomas E. Schneider, Executive Director Montana Public Employees Association P. O. Box 1184 Helena, Mt 59601

Dated this 17th day of June, 1975.

George H. Massman